

~~SECRET~~

Executive Register
11-4222



THE JOINT STAFF

THE JOINT CHIEFS OF STAFF
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

J2DM-148-59
18 May 1959

AWD/fmc

Honorable Allen W. Dulles
Director, Central
Intelligence Agency

Dear Mr. Dulles:


The USIB, at its 5 May meeting, discussed at considerable length the release of [redacted] [redacted] At various times during the discussion we mentioned factors such as "quid pro quo," "net advantage," "promoting the national security," and so on.

I, for one, felt that the members of the Intelligence Board may be using slightly different yardsticks with which to measure the pros and cons of the release of NIE's. I have reviewed Tab "C" of IAC-D-115/1, dated 8 April 1958, and the documents to which that Tab refers. They are, understandably, quite general in their language; but they do provide a common basis for picking explicit guidelines for judging each specific release proposal.

I therefore believe that it would be useful to make sure there is a mutuality of understanding of the criteria applicable to proposed releases of national intelligence to foreign governments. My suggestion is not for any change in criteria but for a review of them. If you and the other members agree, I recommend we ask the Security Committee of USIB--or other appropriate mechanism--to examine the matter and to report to us their findings.

Sincerely,


25X1



Robert A. Breitweiser

ROBERT A. BREITWEISER
Major General, USAF
Director for Intelligence

25X



~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR: Mr. Dulles

A copy each of the attached letter has already been sent to the DD/I for information and to for necessary action.

After you have noted, I will show this to General Cabell.

IAC-D-115/1 is "Report of IAC Ad Hoc Committee on Release of Military Intelligence to Foreign Governments."

AAB
19 May 1959
(DATE)

FORM NO. 101 REPLACES FORM 10-101
1 AUG 54 WHICH MAY BE USED.

(47)

11-4222



THE JOINT STAFF

THE JOINT CHIEFS OF STAFF
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

J2DM-148-59
18 May 1959

Honorable Allen W. Dulles
Director, Central
Intelligence Agency

Dear Mr. Dulles:

The USIB, at its 5 May meeting, discussed at considerable length the release of [redacted] At various times during the discussion we mentioned factors such as "quid pro quo," "net advantage," "promoting the national security," and so on.

I, for one, felt that the members of the Intelligence Board may be using slightly different yardsticks with which to measure the pros and cons of the release of NIE's. I have reviewed Tab "C" of IAC-D-115/1, dated 8 April 1958, and the documents to which that Tab refers. They are, understandably, quite general in their language; but they do provide a common basis for picking explicit guidelines for judging each specific release proposal.

I therefore believe that it would be useful to make sure there is a mutuality of understanding of the criteria applicable to proposed releases of national intelligence to foreign governments. My suggestion is not for any change in criteria but for a review of them. If you and the other members agree, I recommend we ask the Security Committee of USIB--or other appropriate mechanism--to examine the matter and to report to us their findings.

Sincerely,

Robert A. Breitweiser
ROBERT A. BREITWEISER
Major General, USAF
Director for Intelligence

Document No. _____
 Review of this document by CIA has
 determined that
☐ This document is to be placed
☒ in the restricted category of CIA
 information and should
 classified as _____
 Authority: E.O. 70-2 (C)
☐ It contains nothing of CIA interest
 Date 3/2/81 Reviewer _____

SECRET

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY DIRECTOR

Memo for Record:
Suggest when DCI
being briefed before
USIB, he take
position against
Security Committee
consideration. This is
a policy matter not
a security matter.

CPC

SENDER WILL CHECK CLASSIFICATION TOP AND BOTTOM

UNCLASSIFIED CONFIDENTIAL ☒ SECRET

CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY
OFFICIAL ROUTING SLIP

TO	NAME AND ADDRESS	INITIALS	DATE
1	DD/I	RAgfs	20 May 59
2	USIB/S-305 Admin.		
3			
4			
5			
6			

<input type="checkbox"/> ACTION	<input type="checkbox"/> DIRECT REPLY	<input type="checkbox"/> PREPARE REPLY
<input type="checkbox"/> APPROVAL	<input type="checkbox"/> DISPATCH	<input type="checkbox"/> RECOMMENDATION
<input type="checkbox"/> COMMENT	<input type="checkbox"/> FILE	<input type="checkbox"/> RETURN
<input type="checkbox"/> CONCURRENCE	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> INFORMATION	<input type="checkbox"/> SIGNATURE

Remarks:

25X1

For your information. A copy has already been sent to for necessary action.

25X1



Security Committee
is last group still need to
work on this. RAh

25X1

FOLD HERE TO RETURN TO SENDER

FROM: NAME, ADDRESS AND PHONE NO.	DATE
<i>ALLA</i> <input type="text"/> Asst. to the DCI	19 May

UNCLASSIFIED		CONFIDENTIAL		SECRET	
CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY OFFICIAL ROUTING SLIP					
TO	NAME AND ADDRESS		INITIALS	DATE	
1	DD/I				
2	Mr. 	25X1			
3	C				
4	O				
5	P				
6	Y				
ACTION		DIRECT REPLY		PREPARE REPLY	
APPROVAL		DISPATCH		RECOMMENDATION	
COMMENT		FILE		RETURN	
CONCURRENCE		INFORMATION		SIGNATURE	

Remarks:

1. Original of letter to be shown to DCI and DDCI. Copy retained in USIB/S.
2. Copy sent to ONE for suggested action, particularly in light of a recent memorandum from General Samford to Mr. Kent on the same problem of criteria for release.



25X1

FOLD HERE TO RETURN TO SENDER

FROM: NAME, ADDRESS AND PHONE NO.

DATE